

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/063,080 03/17/2002 Yan-Lin Kuo WISP0004USA 4831 27765 05/14/2004 **EXAMINER** NAIPO (NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE) DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAEL V P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

> 2835 DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	AX					
	Office Action Summary	10/063,080	KUO, YAN-LIN	U.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	The Management of the Control of the	Michael V Datskovskiy	2835						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e corresp ndence addr	ess					
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
	Status								
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Apr	ril 2004	,						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
	Disposition of Claims	parto Quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 2	+53 O.G. 213.	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
						9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
					-	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is chicatald.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	The state of the priority documents have been received								
- Application Mo									
The priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office and the first results of the second s									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u>_</u>	•	• • •					
2) Notice of Description Programme P									
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)						
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)									

Application/Control Number: 10/063,080

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and; *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-12 of U. S. Patent No. 6,697,250 and claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent 6,653,705 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: LCD display computer with removable display frame.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,080

Art Unit: 2835

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

4. Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. As allowable subject matter has been indicated below, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The claims are allowable over the prior art of record for at least the reason that the prior art fails to teach or suggest a desktop computer comprising: a pedestal; a front housing, the bottom of the front housing is tilt-able in a back-forward direction mounted on said pedestal; a front panel facing a user, said front panel including a top panel and a bottom panel facing in a one direction; a motherboard fixed on a rear side of the front panel; a display fixed on a front side of the front panel and comprising a metal frame having a rear panel and a plurality of front flanges fixed on a plurality of front edges of the rear panel; a flat display panel fixed inside the recess created by said rear panel and said flanges; a plastic cover fixed on a front side of the metal frame; and a rear cover

Application/Control Number: 10/063,080

Art Unit: 2835

installed on the rear end of the front housing. Examiner agrees with applicant's arguments that Farrow et al do not teach a plurality of front flanges fixed on a plurality of front edges of the rear panel (while teaching all other structural limitations of the claim 1 of the instant application).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wang et al (US Patent 6,366,452); Minemoto et al (US Patent 6,188,569) and Robinson et al (US Patent 5,294,994).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V Datskovskiy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on ((571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2835

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Mul Dolfbui Michael V Datskovskiy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2835